UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,853	03/30/2004	Hyun Sook Kim	1594.1362	2350
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 07/22/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		HECKERT, JASON MARK		
WASHINGTON	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,853	KIM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JASON HECKERT	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-21 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E 	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/811,853 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-2, 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 now contains the language of cancelled claim 3 specifically, "pumping water in the rotary tub to outside of the rotary tub until the inertial rotation of the rotary tub terminates." However, based on the figures and specification, a pump is not attached to the rotary tub. Water in the rotary tub is only removed by centrifugal force during rotation. It is flung into the water tub, and then pumped from the water tub to outside the water tub. Thus, the examiner feels that claim 1 is not enabled because a means does not exist to pump the water from the rotary tub to outside of the rotary tub. The following rejection assumes that the applicant intends for the claim to recite -pumping water in the water tub to outside of the water tub until the inertial rotation of the rotary tub terminates.-- Note that "the water tub" has not yet been defined in the claims. Applicant can incorporate such limitation as they see fit. Additionally, the applicant should amend the specification to clarify that water is pumped from the water tub, not the rotary tub.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/11/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended the claims to point to water being pumped out of

Application/Control Number: 10/811,853 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

the system while the drum is inertially rotating. Orszulik discloses pumping the water out of the sump 26 located in the washtub beneath the drum so as to drain the machine. Therefore, draining the water present in the bottom of the sump at the end of the spin cycle is known in the art. One skilled in the art would see the benefit of saving time by draining the rinse water as soon as possible so as to proceed to the next washing step as soon as possible, thereby completing an entire wash cycle as soon as possible. Additionally, no more water will be removed from the clothes at the end of the spin cycle, or when the drum is no longer rotating, so one skilled in the art would find this to be an appropriate time to terminate operation of the pump so as to prevent pump starvation and noise.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1-2, 4-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orszulik in view of Oh et al and Ruhl et al. Orszulik discloses a rinsing method for a drum washing machine comprising spinning a rotatable drum 16 after a washing step and introducing water to the interior of the drum via a spray nozzle 36 while it is spinning. Orszulik discloses that the spraying cycle can be as short as 5 seconds and that the drum can continue to rotate at various speeds for longer than that. In one embodiment (page 12), the drum is rotated at a first speed for 2 minutes while the rinse

water is sprayed on clothes, and then accelerated to a second rotational speed while the water is not sprayed on clothes, so that the rinse fluid can be drained from the clothes in the rotatable drum. Therefore, the time period of spraying water is shorter than the time period of rotating the drum until termination. Orszulik discloses pumping the water out of the sump 26 located in the washtub beneath the drum so as to drain the machine. Orszulik also states that after 2 minutes at the second rotational speed, the cycle is continued, so that more water is sprayed on at a lower speed, and then drained at a higher speed. Hence, Orszulik discloses an intermittent spin-drying operation. In regards to claims 5-8, Orszulik discloses on page 9 "...if the first rotational speed is constant, the introduction of rinse water to the drum 16 can commence before the first rotational speed is reached and, as a further alternative, the introduction can continue after the drum speed increases above the first rotational speed." Therefore, according to Orszulik, the spraying of water can also occur during a time when the rotational speed of the rotatable drum rises, or more specifically, water can be introduced for a first time at or before the constant speed, and then continue for more time when the rotational speed rises after achieving the constant speed. Orszulik also discloses a control mechanism 10 that controls the amount of spray water introduced into the rotatable drum over a period of time as little as 5 seconds or as great as 2 minutes. Hence, Orszulik discloses the use of preset times. Finally, Orszulik discloses the use of a final spin step 112 occurring after the consecutive and repetitive rinsing steps 106 and 108. Orszulik does not disclose stopping operation of the motor. Oh et al. discloses that it is desirable to change the speed of the drum so that the water travels through the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/811,853

Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

clothing at different angles. This achieves a better rinse (col. 4 line 6 to col. 5 line 12). One of ordinary skill in the art knows that stopping the motor would result in a change of speed, thus providing the result rendered obvious by Oh et al. of allowing water to flow through the clothes at different angles. Furthermore, substituting one known stopping means, such as breaking, for another, such as shutting off the motor, provides the same predictable result of a reduction in speed. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify Orszulik, and stop operation of the motor which would provide the predictable result of a change in speed therefore allowing water to pass through the clothes at different angles, as disclosed by Oh et al., in order to provide a more thorough rinse.

5. Orszulik discloses pumping the water out of the sump 26 located in the washtub beneath the drum so as to drain the machine. Therefore, draining the water present in the bottom of the sump at the end of the spin cycle is known in the art. One skilled in the art would see the benefit of saving time by draining the rinse water as soon as possible so as to proceed to the next washing step as soon as possible, thereby completing an entire wash cycle as soon as possible. Additionally, no more water will be removed from the clothes at the end of the spin cycle, so one skilled in the art would find this to be an appropriate time to terminate operation of the pump so as to prevent pump starvation and noise. Ruhl discloses that there is often too little water in the sump or tub during the spin cycle thus creating such starvation and noise (paragraph 4). Ruhl proposes operating the pump intermittently during the spin operation so as to remove the water, yet not operate it when there is no water (paragraphs 17-19). One skilled in

Application/Control Number: 10/811,853 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

the art realizes that at the end of the spin cycle, no more water is removed from the clothes, and thus operation of the pump is no longer needed. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify Orszulik and terminate operation of the pump after water is removed during the spin-drying cycle, as disclosed by Ruhl, in order to prevent pump starvation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON HECKERT whose telephone number is (571)272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/

Application/Control Number: 10/811,853 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

JMH